United States District Court

Middle District of North Carolina

JOEL CHRISTOPHER SIMCOX,) c. Count
Petitioner,) 1:05CV289) 1:03CR248-1
v.	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	\
Respondent.))

O-R-D-E-R

On January 23, 2006, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), the Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge was filed and notice was served on the parties in this action and a copy was given to the court.

Within the time limitation set forth in the statute, Petitioner objected to the Recommendation.¹

The court has appropriately reviewed the portions of the Magistrate Judge's report to which objection was made and has made a de novo determination which is in accord with the Magistrate Judge's report. The court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation.

¹ Petitioner has filed both an Objection and a Request (docket nos. 7 & 8). The court has considered these filings in its de novo review, but they do not change the outcome. The clerk is DIRECTED to note that those filings are terminated.

correct sentence [Pleading no. 1] be **DENIED** and that this action be dismissed with prejudice. A judgment dismissing this action will be entered contemporaneously with this Order. Finding no substantial issue for appeal concerning the denial of a constitutional right affecting the conviction, nor a debatable procedural ruling, a certificate of appealability is not issued.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATE: Mach 3, 2004